

database ---.

IN THE ABSTRACT:

Please replace the Abstract with the attached rewritten Abstract.

REMARKS

In paragraph 1 of the Office Action the Examiner objected to the drawings being that the Examiner alleges that all elements are not labelled with English descriptive legends. The Examiner is respectfully informed that Formal Drawings were filed on November 30, 1994 wherein formal English language drawings were submitted. A copy of the November 30, 1994 transmittal and the drawings submitted therewith are attached for the Examiner's reference. Also attached are Proposed Drawing Corrections to be made so as to add additional legends to the drawings to describe various unlabelled elements. Approval of the Proposed Drawing Corrections is respectfully requested.

Various amendments were made throughout the specification to correct minor errors grammatical and editorial in nature discovered upon review.

The Abstract was rewritten as per the attached so as to more clearly describe the features of the invention.

In paragraph 5 of the Office Action the Examiner objected to various informalities in claims 1-11. Various amendments were made throughout claims 1-11 to correct the informalities noted by the Examiner. Therefore, the

Examiner's objections are overcome and should be withdrawn.

In paragraph 7 of the Office Action the Examiner rejected claims 3 and 11 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. Various amendments were made throughout claims 3 and 11 to bring them into conformity with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph. Therefore, Applicants submit that this rejection is overcome and should be withdrawn.

Specifically, amendments were made to claims 3 and 11 to overcome the objections noted by the Examiner in paragraph 7 of the Office Action.

The Examiner's cooperation is respectfully requested to contact Applicants' Attorney by telephone should any further indefinite matter be discovered so that appropriate amendments may be made.

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's indication in paragraph 6 of the Office Action that claims 1-11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objections noted by the Examiner in paragraph 5 of the Office Action. As indicated above amendments were made to claims 1-11 to overcome the objections noted by the Examiner in paragraph 5 of the Office Action. Therefore, claims 1-11 are allowable as indicated by the Examiner.

Applicants also acknowledge the Examiner's indication in paragraph 8 of the Office Action that claims 3 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the


rejection under 35 USC §112. As indicated above, amendments were made to claims 3 and 11 to overcome the rejection under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. Therefore, claims 3 and 11 are allowable as indicated by the Examiner.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that claims 1-11 are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, early allowance of claims 1-11 is respectfully requested.

To the extent necessary, Applicants petition for an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136. Please charge any shortage in the fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, including extension of time fees, to Deposit

Account No. 01-2135 (Case No. 500.33218X00) and please credit any excess fees to such deposit account.

Respectfully submitted,



Carl I. Brundidge
Registration No. 29,621
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS

CIB/hpg
(703) 312-6600